

Green River Workforce Development Board
Request for Proposal
Direct Services Provider
QUESTIONS and ANSWERS
Issued: September 27, 2017

Q1: The total contract to provide business services is \$465,000. Previously on the other RFP question A15 current funding for training was \$726,000 for adult, dislocated worker and youth. Why is there a large drop in funding for training?

A1: The maximum allowable funding for Direct Services Provider is \$465,000. Refer to the Request for Proposal (RFP), page 4, Section 1.1 and page 8, Section 1.4 for the purpose and the role of the Direct Services Provider. For Fiscal Year 2018, the amount available for training is approximately \$500,000. The decrease in the amount available is due to a decrease in the Fiscal Year 2018 allocations and no funds to be carried forward from Fiscal Year 2017.

The maximum allowable funding excludes funds reserved to pay for training and supportive services. The cost of these services are not included in the Budget Proposal since they are managed and disbursed by the Green River Workforce Development Board refer to page 27, E2(a); Attachment B, page 27, and Attachment E, page 40. Therefore, the amount of funding available for training and supportive services is not indicated in the RFP. This is a new procurement cycle; therefore, any previous procurement cycles are irrelevant and should not be considered.

Q2: Is there an administrative funding cap?

A2: No. Administrative costs are not allowable under this RFP.

Q3: How many staff is currently managing the direct services? Is there an estimate of staffing? - *To ensure there is room at the center.*

A3: Refer to Attachment E, page 40, #7. Please note, a typographical error has resulted in conflicting numbers in #7. ***The correct number of Career Planners is three (3).***

Q4: Who is the One-Stop Operator? Is the One-Stop Operator able to be both the Operator and the Direct Services Provider?

A4: A new One-Stop Operator has not yet been named; however, currently the One-Stop Operator is a consortium of KCC partners. The One-Stop Operator and the Direct Services Provider are able to serve in both roles; however there must be appropriate firewalls in place to avoid any conflicts of interest and a clear separation of duties must be documented.

Q5: Is the Direct Services Provider allowed to spend more than the required 75% on OSY?

A5: Yes.

Q6: How much is anticipated to be spent on participant expenses?

A6: Approximately \$500,000 for Adults, Dislocated Workers and Youth.

Q7: Please confirm that the two years of audited financial history and monitoring reports only need to be included in the one original.

A7: Yes. The two years of audited financial history and monitoring reports only need be included in the one original.

Q8: Can disallowed costs be included in the one original only?

A8: Yes.